arXiv:0802.3640v1 [math.FA] 25 Feb 2008

Strongly-Representable Operators

M.D. Voisei* and C. Zălinescu†

Abstract

Recently in [1] a new class of maximal monotone operators has been introduced. In this note we study domain range properties as well as connections with other classes and calculus rules for these operators we called strongly-representable. While not every maximal monotone operator is strongly-representable, every maximal monotone NI operator is strongly-representable, and every strongly representable operator is locally maximal monotone, maximal monotone locally, and ANA. As a consequence the conjugate of the Fitzpatrick function of a maximal monotone operator is not necessarily a representative function.

1 Introduction

Let X be a non trivial Banach space and X^* its topological dual; set $Z := X \times X^*$ which is a Banach space with respect to the norm $\|(x, x^*)\| := \left(\|x\|^2 + \|x^*\|^2\right)^{1/2}$. We denote by s the strong topology and by $Z^* := X^* \times X^{**}$ the dual of Z.

For $z := (x, x^*) \in Z$ set $c(z) := \langle x, x^*\rangle$. Consider

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}(Z) := \{f \in \Lambda(Z) \mid f(z) \geq c(z)\ \forall z \in Z\}, \quad \mathcal{F}_s := \mathcal{F}_s(Z) := \mathcal{F}(Z) \cap \Gamma_s(Z),$$

where for a locally convex space (E, τ), $\Lambda(E)$ denotes the class of proper convex functions $f : E \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\Gamma_\tau(E)$ is the class of those $f \in \Lambda(E)$ which are τ–lower semicontinuous (lsc for short). The elements of $\mathcal{F}(Z)$ are called representative functions in Z. The classes $\mathcal{F}(Z^*)$, $\mathcal{F}_s(Z^*)$ are defined similarly.

It is known that when $f \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$ the set

$$M_f := \{z \in Z \mid f(z) \leq c(z)\} = \{z \in Z \mid f(z) = c(z)\} = [f = c]$$

is monotone, that is, $c(z - z') \geq 0$ for all $z, z' \in M_f$. For $z_1 := (x_1, x_1^*)$, $z_2 := (x_2, x_2^*) \in Z$ we set

$$\langle z_1, z_2\rangle := z_1 \cdot z_2 := \langle x_1, x_2^*\rangle + \langle x_2, x_1^*\rangle.$$

Note the following useful relations:

$$c(z_1 + z_2) = c(z_1) + \langle z_1, z_2\rangle + c(z_2), \quad c(z) = c(-z) = \tfrac{1}{2}\langle z, z\rangle \quad \forall z_1, z_2, z \in Z.$$

For $z = (x, x^*) \in Z$, $\alpha > 0$ and $g : Z \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ we denote by g_z and g_α the functions defined on Z by

$$g_z(w) := g(z + w) - c(z + w) + c(w), \quad g_\alpha(w) := \alpha g\left(y, \alpha^{-1} y^*\right),$$

---

*Towson University, Department of Mathematics, Towson, Maryland, USA, email: `mvoisei@towson.edu`.

†University "Al.I.Cuza" Iaşi, Faculty of Mathematics, 700506-Iaşi, Romania and Institute of Mathematics Octav Mayer, Iaşi, Romania, email: `zalinesc@uaic.ro`.

for $w := (y, y^*) \in Z$; hence

$$g_z(w) - c(w) = g(z + w) - c(z + w) \quad \forall z, w \in Z, \tag{1}$$

$$g_\alpha(w) - c(w) = \alpha\,[g(w_\alpha) - c(w_\alpha)] \quad \forall \alpha > 0,\ \forall w \in Z, \tag{2}$$

where $w_\alpha := (y, \alpha^{-1}y^*)$ for $w = (y, y^*)$. It follows that

$$f \in \mathcal{F}(Z) \Rightarrow [f_\alpha,\ f_z \in \mathcal{F}(Z) \quad \forall \alpha > 0,\ \forall z \in Z],$$
$$f \in \mathcal{F}_s(Z) \Rightarrow [f_\alpha,\ f_z \in \mathcal{F}_s(Z) \quad \forall \alpha > 0,\ \forall z \in Z],$$

and

$$M_{f_z} = M_f - z, \quad M_{f_\alpha} = \{(x, \alpha x^*) \mid (x, x^*) \in M_f\} \tag{3}$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$, $z \in Z$ and $\alpha > 0$. In the sequel for $g : Z \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ a proper function g^* denotes its usual conjugate, while ∂g is its usual subdifferential, that is, $g^* : Z^* = X^* \times X^{**} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\partial g : Z \rightrightarrows Z^*$, the pairing between Z and Z^* being given by

$$\langle (x, x^*), (u^*, u^{**}) \rangle := \langle x, u^* \rangle + \langle x^*, u^{**} \rangle \quad \forall (x, x^*) \in X \times X^*,\ (u^*, u^{**}) \in X^* \times X^{**}.$$

Let $\widehat{x}$ be the image $J(x)$ of $x \in X$, where J is the canonical injection of X into X^{**}, $J : X \to X^{**}$ with $J(x)(x^*) := \langle x, x^* \rangle$ for $x^* \in X^*$. In the sequel we shall use $\widehat{z}$ for $(x^*, \widehat{x}) \in Z^*$ when $z = (x, x^*) \in Z$. Moreover, for $g : Z \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ we consider $g^\square : Z \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ defined by $g^\square(z) := g^*(\widehat{z})$; hence $g^\square$ is convex and $s \times w^*$–lsc.

For $M \subset X \times X^*$, its Fitzpatrick function φ_M is defined as

$$\varphi_M(z) = \sup\{\langle z, w \rangle - c_M(w) \mid w \in Z\},$$

where $c_M(z) := c(z)$ for $z \in M$ and $c_M(z) := \infty$ for $z \in Z \setminus M$; in simpler words $\varphi_M(x, x^*) = c_M^*(x^*, \widehat{x}) = c_M^\square(x, x^*)$, or $\varphi_M(z) = c_M^*(\widehat{z}) = c_M^\square(z)$ for $z = (x, x^*) \in Z$.

Let $g : X \times X^* \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a proper function and $z := (x, x^*) \in Z$. Then

$$(g_{(x,x^*)})^*(u^*, u^{**}) = g^*\left(u^* + x^*, u^{**} + \widehat{x}\right) - \langle x, u^* \rangle - \langle x^*, u^{**} \rangle - \langle x, x^* \rangle \quad \forall (u^*, u^{**}) \in X^* \times X^{**},$$

that is,

$$(g_z)^*(w^*) = g^*\left(w^* + \widehat{z}\right) - c(w^* + \widehat{z}) + c(w^*) \quad \forall w^* \in Z^*,$$

or equivalently

$$(g_z)^* = (g^*)_{\widehat{z}},$$

and

$$\partial g_z(w) = \{w^* \in Z^* \mid w^* + \widehat{z} \in \partial g(w + z)\} = \partial g(w + z) - \widehat{z}.$$

In particular, $\operatorname{Im} \partial g_z = \operatorname{Im} \partial g - \widehat{z}$. Moreover, for $\alpha > 0$ we have

$$g_\alpha^*(u^*, u^{**}) = \alpha g^*(\alpha^{-1}u^*, u^{**}),$$
$$(u^*, u^{**}) \in \partial g_\alpha(x, x^*) \Leftrightarrow (\alpha^{-1}u^*, u^{**}) \in \partial g(x, \alpha^{-1}x^*).$$

Let us consider the more restrictive classes

$$\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{G}(Z) := \{f \in \mathcal{F}(Z) \mid f^*(z^*) \geq c(z^*)\ \forall z^* \in Z^*\}, \quad \mathcal{G}_s := \mathcal{G}_s(Z) := \mathcal{G}(Z) \cap \Gamma_s(Z).$$

The classes $\mathcal{G}(Z^*)$, $\mathcal{G}_s(Z^*)$ are defined similarly.

Using the formulas above for g_z^* and g_α^* we get

$$f \in \mathcal{G}_s(Z) \Rightarrow [f_\alpha,\ f_z \in \mathcal{G}_s(Z)\ \ \forall \alpha > 0,\ \forall z \in Z]\,. \tag{4}$$

An operator M is called *strongly-representable* in Z whenever there is $f \in \mathcal{G}_s(Z)$ such that $M = M_f$. In this case f is called a strong-representative of M.

It has been proven in [1, Theorem 4.2] that every strongly-representable operator is maximal monotone. In this paper we show that not every maximal monotone operator is strongly-representable by providing the property of convexity for the closure of the range; property that distinguishes between these two classes.

Consider

$$h : X \times X^* \to \mathbb{R}, \quad h(x, x^*) = \tfrac{1}{2}\left\|(x, x^*)\right\|^2 = \tfrac{1}{2}\left\|x\right\|^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}\left\|x^*\right\|^2.$$

Since the dual norm on $X^* \times X^{**}$ is given by $\|(u^*, u^{**})\| = \left(\|u^*\|^2 + \|u^{**}\|^2\right)^{1/2}$ we know that $h^*(u^*, u^{**}) = \frac{1}{2}\left\|(u^*, u^{**})\right\|^2$. Notice that

$$h \geq \pm c, \quad h^* \geq \pm c \tag{5}$$

(on the respective spaces). Moreover,

$$\partial h(x, x^*) = F_X(x) \times F_{X^*}(x^*),$$

where $F_X : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is the duality mapping of X, that is,

$$F_X(x) := \partial\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\left\|\cdot\right\|^2\right)(x) = \left\{x^* \in X^* \mid \|x\|^2 = \|x^*\|^2 = \langle x, x^*\rangle\right\} \quad \forall x \in X,$$

and similarly for F_{X^*}. Note that

$$\left|\langle z, z'\rangle\right| \leq \|z\| \cdot \left\|z'\right\|, \quad \left|c(z) - c(z')\right| \leq \tfrac{1}{2}\left\|z - z'\right\|^2 + \left\|z'\right\| \cdot \left\|z - z'\right\| \quad \forall z, z' \in Z. \tag{6}$$

Taking $z' = z$ in the first inequality or $z' = 0$ in the second we get $|c(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|z\|^2$ for $z \in Z$.

In the sequel a multifunction $S : E \rightrightarrows F$ is identified with its graph $\operatorname{gph} S := \{(x, y) \mid y \in S(x)\}$ (when there is no risk of confusion); so $\operatorname{dom} S := \operatorname{Pr}_E(S)$ and $\operatorname{Im} S := \operatorname{Pr}_F(S)$. Moreover, $S^{-1} : F \rightrightarrows E$ has $\operatorname{gph} S^{-1} := \{(y, x) \mid (x, y) \in \operatorname{gph} S\}$.

When E, F are (real) linear spaces, $A, B \subset E$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we set $A + B := \{a + b \mid a \in A,\ b \in B\}$ and $\alpha A := \{\alpha a \mid a \in A\}$ with $A + \emptyset := \emptyset$ and $\alpha\emptyset := \emptyset$. For $S, T : E \rightrightarrows F$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ the multifunctions $S + T : E \rightrightarrows F$ and $\alpha S : E \rightrightarrows F$ have their graphs $\operatorname{gph}(S + T) := \{(x, y + v) \mid (x, y) \in \operatorname{gph} S,\ (x, v) \in \operatorname{gph} T\}$, that is, $(S+T)(x) = S(x)+T(x)$, and $\operatorname{gph}(\alpha S) := \{(x, \alpha y) \mid (x, y) \in \operatorname{gph} S\}$, that is, $(\alpha S)(x) = \alpha S(x)$. Hence $\operatorname{dom}(S + T) = \operatorname{dom} S \cap \operatorname{dom} T$, $\operatorname{Im}(S + T) \subset \operatorname{Im} S + \operatorname{Im} T$, $\operatorname{dom}(\alpha S) = \operatorname{dom} S$, $\operatorname{Im}(\alpha S) = \alpha \operatorname{Im} S$; therefore $\operatorname{gph}(S + T)$ is (generally) different of $\operatorname{gph} S + \operatorname{gph} T$ and $\operatorname{gph}(\alpha S)$ is different of $\alpha \operatorname{gph} S$.

As usual, for the subset A of the normed vector space X and $x \in X$ we set $d(x, A) := \inf\{\|x - u\| \mid u \in A\}$ with the convention $\inf \emptyset := \infty := +\infty$.

2 Domain-range properties

Proposition 1 *Assume that $f \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$, and $z_1, z_2 \in Z$ and $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \geq 0$ are such that $f(z_1) \leq c(z_1) + \varepsilon_1$ and $f(z_2) \leq c(z_2) + \varepsilon_2$. Then*

$$c(z_1 - z_2) = \langle x_1 - x_2, x_1^* - x_2^*\rangle \geq -2(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2).$$

Proof. Indeed,

$$c\left(\tfrac{1}{2}z_1+\tfrac{1}{2}z_2\right)\le f\left(\tfrac{1}{2}z_1+\tfrac{1}{2}z_2\right)\le \tfrac{1}{2}f(z_1)+\tfrac{1}{2}f(z_2)\le \tfrac{1}{2}\left(c(z_1)+\varepsilon_1\right)+\tfrac{1}{2}\left(c(z_2)+\varepsilon_2\right),$$

whence $-\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)\le \frac{1}{4}c(z_1-z_2)$. The conclusion follows. □

Proposition 2 *Let $f\in\mathcal{G}(Z)$. Then:*

(i) For every $z\in Z$ one has

$$\inf_{w\in Z}(f_z(w)+h(w))=-\min_{w^*\in Z^*}\left[(f^*(\widehat{z}+w^*)-c(\widehat{z}+w^*))+(h^*(w^*)+c(w^*))\right]=0.$$

(ii) For every $z\in Z$ there is $z^*\in M_{f^*}$ such that $\widehat{z}-z^*\in\operatorname{gph}(-F_{X^*})$ and $\|\widehat{z}-z^*\|^2\le 2(f^*(\widehat{z})-c(\widehat{z}))$. Moreover

$$(\sqrt{2}-1)\|\widehat{z}-z^*\|\le d(\widehat{z},M_{f^*})\le\sqrt{2\left(f^*(\widehat{z})-c(\widehat{z})\right)}=\sqrt{2\left(f^{\square}(z)-c(z)\right)}. \tag{7}$$

(iii) For every $\alpha>0$, $\operatorname{Im}\left((M_{f^*})^{-1}+\alpha(F_{X^*})^{-1}\right)=X^*$.

Proof. (i) Taking into account the formulas related to f_z we may (and we do) assume that $z=0$. Because $f\ge c$ and $f^*\ge c$, from (5) we obtain that $f+h\ge 0$ and $f^*+h^*\ge 0$. Since f is convex and h is finite, convex and continuous on Z, using the Fenchel duality theorem (see f.i. [12, Cor. 2.8.5]) we obtain that

$$0\le\inf(f+h)=-\min_{z^*\in Z^*}\left[f^*(z^*)+h^*(-z^*)\right]=-\min_{z^*\in Z^*}\left[f^*(z^*)+h^*(z^*)\right]=-\inf(f^*+h^*)\le 0.$$

The conclusion of (i) follows, because $f_z\in\mathcal{G}(Z)$ whenever $f\in\mathcal{G}(Z)$.

(ii) Fix $z\in Z$. From (i) we get $z^*\in Z^*$ such that $[f^*(z^*)-c(z^*)]+[h^*(z^*-\widehat{z})+c(z^*-\widehat{z})]=0$. Because the terms in square brackets are non negative, we obtain that $f^*(z^*)-c(z^*)=0$, that is, $z^*\in M_{f^*}$, and $h^*(z^*-\widehat{z})+c(z^*-\widehat{z})=0$, that is, $\widehat{z}-z^*\in\operatorname{gph}(-F_{X^*})$. Since $f^*(z^*)=c(z^*)$ we have $f^*(\widehat{z})\ge\varphi_{M_{f^*}}(\widehat{z})\ge\langle\widehat{z},z^*\rangle-c(z^*)$ (for more details see [11, Remark 3.6]). Therefore

$$f^*(\widehat{z})-c(\widehat{z})\ge\langle\widehat{z},z^*\rangle-c(z^*)-c(\widehat{z})=-c(\widehat{z}-z^*)=h^*(z^*-\widehat{z})=\tfrac{1}{2}\|\widehat{z}-z^*\|^2.$$

Since $\delta:=d(\widehat{z},M_{f^*})\le\|\widehat{z}-z^*\|$, the second inequality in relation (7) holds. Since M_{f^*} is monotone we have that

$$\begin{aligned}0\le c\left(z^*-w^*\right)&=c(z^*-\widehat{z})+\langle z^*-\widehat{z},\widehat{z}-w^*\rangle+c(\widehat{z}-w^*)\\&\le-\tfrac{1}{2}\|\widehat{z}-z^*\|^2+\|z^*-\widehat{z}\|\cdot\|\widehat{z}-w^*\|+\tfrac{1}{2}\|\widehat{z}-w^*\|^2\end{aligned}$$

for every $w^*\in M_{f^*}$. It follows that $0\le-\|\widehat{z}-z^*\|^2+2\delta\,\|z^*-\widehat{z}\|+\delta^2$, whence $\|\widehat{z}-z^*\|\le(1+\sqrt{2})\delta$. Therefore, the first inequality in (7) holds, too.

(iii) Replacing, if necessary, f by f_α, we may assume that $\alpha=1$. Let $u^*\in X^*$. Applying (ii) for $z=(0,u^*)$ we get $z^*=(x^*,x^{**})\in M_{f^*}$ such that $u^*-x^*\in(F_{X^*})^{-1}(x^{**})$. The conclusion follows. □

Remark 1 *From assertion (i) of the preceding proposition we have that $f\in\mathcal{G}_s(Z)$ implies $f\in\mathcal{F}_s(Z)$ and $\inf(f_z+h)=0$ for every $z\in Z$.*

Remark 2 *The first part of assertion (ii) of the previous proposition can be interpreted as*

$$\widehat{Z} := X^* \times J(X) \subset \operatorname{gph} M_{f^*} + \operatorname{gph}(-F_{X^*}),$$

and is a generalization to non-reflexive spaces for the "$-J$" criterion for the maximality of operators in reflexive spaces (see [8]). In reflexive spaces, an operator is maximal monotone iff it is strongly-representable, a situation that is no longer valid in the non-reflexive context in the sense that there exist maximal monotone operators that are not strongly-representable as we will see in the sequel. The second part of assertion (ii) extends [6, Lem. 2.3] to the non-reflexive case.

A partial converse of Proposition 2 follows.

Proposition 3 *If $f : Z \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is such that $\inf_{w\in Z}(f_z(w) + h(w)) = 0$ for every $z \in Z$ then $f \geq c$; if moreover f is convex then $f \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$ and $f^*(z^*) \geq c(z^*)$ for every $z^* \in \widehat{Z} + \operatorname{gph}(-F_{X^*})$.*

Proof. The condition $\inf(f_z + h) = 0$ for every $z \in Z$ implies

$$f_z(w) + h(w) = f(z+w) - c(z+w) + h(w) + c(w) \geq 0 \quad \forall z, w \in Z.$$

Taking $w = 0$ we get $f \geq c$ in Z.

Assume now that f is convex; then necessarily $f \in \Lambda(Z)$, and so $f \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$. Again, the fundamental duality formula yields

$$\inf_{w\in Z}(f_z(w) + h(w)) = -\min_{z^*\in Z^*}[(f^*(\widehat{z} + z^*) - c(\widehat{z} + z^*)) + (h^*(z^*) + c(z^*))] = 0,$$

which implies $f^*(z^*) \geq c(z^*)$ for every $z^* \in \widehat{Z} + \operatorname{gph}(-F_{X^*})$, since $[h^* + c = 0] = \operatorname{gph}(-F_{X^*})$. □

Theorem 4 *Let $f \in \Gamma_s(Z)$ be such that $\inf_{w\in Z}(f_z(w) + h(w)) = 0$ for every $z \in Z$. Then M_f is monotone and nonempty, and*

$$d((x,x^*), M_f) \leq 2\sqrt{f(x,x^*) - \langle x, x^*\rangle} \quad \forall (x,x^*) \in X \times X^*. \tag{8}$$

Proof. From Proposition 3 we have that $f \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$, and so M_f is monotone. Fix $z := (x,x^*) \in X \times X^*$. If $f(z) = \infty$ or $f(z) = c(z)$ it is nothing to prove. So let $\varepsilon := f(z) - c(z) \in (0,\infty)$ and set $\varepsilon_0 := \varepsilon$, $z_0 := z$. Fix $\beta \in (1,\infty)$ and $\gamma \in (2,\infty)$ and consider a sequence $(\varepsilon_n)_{n\geq 0} \subset (0,\infty)$ satisfying

$$4\varepsilon_n + 6\varepsilon_{n+1} \leq \gamma^2\varepsilon_n \quad \forall n \geq 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sum_{n\geq 0}\sqrt{\varepsilon_n} < \beta\sqrt{\varepsilon}. \tag{9}$$

Because $\inf(f_{z_0} + h) = 0$, there exists $z_1 \in Z$ such that

$$f_{z_0}(z_1 - z_0) + h(z_1 - z_0) \leq \varepsilon_1.$$

Using the definition of f_z given in (1), we get

$$\begin{gathered} 0 \leq f(z_1) - c(z_1) = f_{z_0}(z_1 - z_0) - c(z_1 - z_0) \leq \varepsilon_1, \\ 0 \leq \tfrac{1}{2}\|z_1 - z_0\|^2 + c(z_1 - z_0) \leq \varepsilon_1. \end{gathered} \tag{10}$$

Using Proposition 1 we obtain that $c(z_1 - z_0) \geq -2(\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1)$, and so, by (10),

$$\|z_1 - z_0\|^2 \leq 2\varepsilon_1 + 4(\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1) = 4\varepsilon_0 + 6\varepsilon_1 \leq \gamma^2 \varepsilon_0,$$

whence

$$\|z_1 - z_0\| \leq \gamma\sqrt{\varepsilon_0}.$$

Continuing this procedure we obtain a sequence $(z_n)_{n\geq 0} \subset Z$ such that

$$f(z_n) \leq c(z_n) + \varepsilon_n, \quad \|z_{n+1} - z_n\| \leq \gamma\sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

From (9) we obtain that

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \|z_{n+1} - z_n\| \leq \gamma \sum_{n\geq 0} \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} < \gamma\beta\sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

It follows that the sequence $(z_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is strongly convergent to some $z_\varepsilon \in Z$ and $\|z - z_\varepsilon\| \leq \gamma\beta\sqrt{\varepsilon}$. Since f is s–lsc and $\varepsilon_n \to 0$, from the inequality $f(z_n) \leq c(z_n) + \varepsilon_n$ we obtain

$$c(z_\varepsilon) \leq f(z_\varepsilon) \leq \liminf f(z_n) \leq \lim(c(z_n) + \varepsilon_n) = c(z_\varepsilon).$$

Therefore, $f(z_\varepsilon) = c(z_\varepsilon)$, that is, $z_\varepsilon \in M_f$. Moreover, $d\,(z, M_f) \leq \gamma\beta\sqrt{\varepsilon}$. Since $\beta > 1$ and $\gamma > 2$ are arbitrary we have that $d\,(z, M_f) \leq 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, that is, (8) holds. □

As a consequence of the previous theorem, every strongly-representable operator has the following Brøndsted–Rockafellar property. For other results of this type see [1].

Corollary 5 *Let* $f \in \Gamma_s(Z)$ *be such that* $\inf_{w\in Z}(f_z(w) + h(w)) = 0$, *for every* $z \in Z$. *For every* $\varepsilon > 0$ *and every* $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$ *with* $f(x, x^*) < \langle x, x^*\rangle + \varepsilon$ *there exists* $(x_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon^*) \in M_f$ *such that* $\|x - x_\varepsilon\|^2 + \|x^* - x_\varepsilon^*\|^2 < 4\varepsilon$.

The next result corresponds to [7, Prop. 2] (established in reflexive Banach spaces).

Corollary 6 *Let* $f \in \mathcal{G}_s(Z)$ *and* $\gamma > 4$. *Then for every* $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$ *and every* $\alpha > 0$ *there exists* $(x_\alpha, x_\alpha^*) \in M_f$ *such that*

$$\|x_\alpha - x\|^2 + \alpha^2 \|x_\alpha^* - x^*\|^2 \leq \gamma\alpha\left(f(x, x^*) - \langle x, x^*\rangle\right). \tag{11}$$

Proof. If $(x, x^*) \notin \operatorname{dom} f$ we can take arbitrary $(x_\alpha, x_\alpha^*) \in M_f$, while if $f(x, x^*) = \langle x, x^*\rangle$ we take $(x_\alpha, x_\alpha^*) = (x, x^*)$ for every $\alpha > 0$. So let (x, x^*) be such that $0 < f(x, x^*) - \langle x, x^*\rangle < \infty$ and fix $\alpha > 0$. By (4) we have that $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{G}_s(Z)$; moreover,

$$f_\alpha(x, \alpha x^*) - \langle x, \alpha x^*\rangle = \alpha\left(f(x, x^*) - \langle x, x^*\rangle\right) \in (0, \infty).$$

Applying Theorem 4 for f_α and $(x, \alpha x^*)$ we get $(x_\alpha, x_\alpha^*) \in M_f$ (that is, $(x_\alpha, \alpha x_\alpha^*) \in M_{f_\alpha}$) such that (11) holds. □

Corollary 7 *Let* $f \in \mathcal{G}_s(Z)$. *Then*

$$\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{dom} M_f) = \operatorname{cl}\left(\operatorname{Pr}_X(\operatorname{dom} f)\right), \qquad \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{Im} M_f) = \operatorname{cl}\left(\operatorname{Pr}_{X^*}(\operatorname{dom} f)\right).$$

In particular $\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{dom} M_f)$ *and* $\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{Im} M_f)$ *are convex sets. Here* "cl" *stands for the closure with respect to the strong topology.*

Proof. The inclusions $\operatorname{dom} M_f \subset \operatorname{Pr}_X(\operatorname{dom} f)$ and $\operatorname{Im} M_f \subset \operatorname{Pr}_{X^*}(\operatorname{dom} f)$ are obvious. Let $x^* \in \operatorname{Pr}_{X^*}(\operatorname{dom} f)$; then $(x, x^*) \in \operatorname{dom} f$ for some $x \in X$. Applying Corollary 6 (with $\gamma > 4$), for $\alpha > 0$ we get $(x_\alpha, x_\alpha^*) \in M_f$ satisfying (11). Therefore, $x_\alpha^* \in \operatorname{Im} M_f$ and $\alpha \left\| x_\alpha^* - x^* \right\|^2 \leq \gamma \left(f(x, x^*) - \langle x, x^* \rangle \right)$. Hence $\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} x_\alpha^* = x^*$, which shows that $x^* \in \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{Im} M_f)$.

If $x \in \operatorname{Pr}_X(\operatorname{dom} f)$, $(x, x^*) \in \operatorname{dom} f$ for some $x^* \in X^*$. Taking for $\alpha > 0$ $(x_\alpha, x_\alpha^*) \in M_f$ satisfying (11), we have that $x_\alpha \in \operatorname{dom} M_f$ and $\left\| x_\alpha - x \right\|^2 \leq \gamma\alpha \left(f(x, x^*) - \langle x, x^* \rangle \right)$. Hence $\lim_{\alpha \to 0} x_\alpha = x$, which proves that $x \in \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{dom} M_f)$. □

Remark 3 *The previous result shows that, for a strongly-representable operator, the strong closures of its domain and range are convex. Since, in general, the closure of the range for a maximal monotone operator is not necessarily convex (see e.g. [4]), this shows that not every maximal monotone operator is strongly-representable.*

Remark 4 *Let M be a maximal monotone operator that is not strongly-representable. Then $M = [\varphi_M = c]$, $\varphi_M \in \mathcal{F}_s(Z)$ and if we assumed that $\varphi_M^* \geq c$ in Z^* then $\varphi_M \in \mathcal{G}_s(Z)$ and M would be strongly-representable; a contradiction. Hence the inequality $\varphi_M^* \geq c$ fails in Z^*, that is, the conjugate of the Fitzpatrick function of a maximal monotone operator is not necessarily a representative function.*

The next result has been proved in [1, Theorem 4.2] for $f \in \mathcal{G}_s(Z)$. For convenience we provide the reader with a short proof.

Theorem 8 *Let $f \in \Gamma_s(Z)$ be such that $\inf_{w \in Z} \left(f_z(w) + h(w) \right) = 0$ for every $z \in Z$. Then M_f is maximal monotone in Z. In particular every strongly-representable operator is maximal monotone.*

Proof. Let z_0 be monotonically related to M_f. Replacing f by f_{z_0} if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that $z_0 = 0$, that is

$$c(z) \geq 0 \quad \forall z \in M_f. \tag{12}$$

From $\inf(f + h) = 0$, there is $z_n \in Z$ such that $f(z_n) + h(z_n) < 1/n^2$, for every $n \geq 1$. The function $f + h$ is coercive. Indeed, fixing some $\overline{z}^* \in \operatorname{dom} f^*$ we have that

$$f(z) + h(z) \geq \tfrac{1}{2} \left\| z \right\|^2 + \langle z, \overline{z}^* \rangle - f^*(\overline{z}^*) \geq \tfrac{1}{2} \left\| z \right\|^2 - \left\| z \right\| \left\| \overline{z}^* \right\| - f^*(\overline{z}^*) \quad \forall z \in Z.$$

Therefore, the sequence $(z_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded. Since $f \geq c$ and $h \geq -c$ we obtain that $f(z_n) < c(z_n) + 1/n^2$ and $h(z_n) + c(z_n) \leq 1/n^2$. Applying Corollary 5 for z_n, f and $\varepsilon = 1/n^2$ we get $u_n \in M_f$ such that $\left\| u_n - z_n \right\| < 2/n$ for $n \geq 1$.

According to (12) and (6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| z_n \right\|^2 = 2h(z_n) &\leq -2c(z_n) + 2n^{-2} \leq -2c(u_n) + 2 \left| c(u_n) - c(z_n) \right| + 2n^{-2} \\ &\leq \left\| u_n - z_n \right\|^2 + 2 \left\| z_n \right\| \cdot \left\| u_n - z_n \right\| + 2n^{-2} \leq 6n^{-2} + 4n^{-1} \left\| z_n \right\| \end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq 1$. Since (z_n) is bounded we have that $\left\| z_n \right\| \to 0$. Letting $n \to \infty$ in $c(z_n) \leq f(z_n) < c(z_n) + 1/n^2$ and taking into account that $f \in \Gamma_s(Z)$ we get $z_0 = 0 \in M_f$. □

Remark 5 *The subdifferential $\partial\varphi$ of a the function $\varphi \in \Gamma_s(X)$ with X a Banach space is strongly-representable thus maximal monotone; a strong-representative for $\partial\varphi$ is given by $f(x, x^*) = \varphi(x) + \varphi^*(x^*)$ for $x \in X$, $x^{**} \in X^{**}$.*

Corollary 9 *Let $f \in \Gamma_s(Z)$ be such that $\inf_{w\in Z}(f_z(w) + h(w)) = 0$, for every $z \in Z$. Then*

$$f \geq \mathrm{cl}_{w\times w^*} f \geq \varphi_{M_f} \geq c, \quad \text{in} \;\; Z, \tag{13}$$

$M_f = M_{\mathrm{cl}_{w\times w^*} f} \subset [f^{\square} = c]$, and $\inf_{w\in Z}((\mathrm{cl}_{w\times w^*} f)_z(w) + h(w)) = 0$, for every $z \in Z$. Here $\mathrm{cl}_{w\times w^*} f$ stands for the greatest convex $w \times w^*-$lsc function majorized by f in Z.

Proof. According to Theorem 8, M_f is maximal monotone. By [2, Th. 2.4], if $z \in M_f$ then $z \in \partial f(z)$. This implies $f^{\square}(z) = c(z)$ for every $z \in M_f$, that is, $M_f \subset [f^{\square} = c]$ and so $f^{\square} \leq c_{M_f}$. Hence $f \geq \mathrm{cl}_{w\times w^*} f = f^{\square\square} \geq \varphi_{M_f} \geq c$ in Z. Therefore $0 \leq (\mathrm{cl}_{w\times w^*} f)_z + h \leq f_z + h$ and so $\inf_{w\in Z}((\mathrm{cl}_{w\times w^*} f)_z(w) + h(w)) = 0$, for every $z \in Z$.

From $f \geq \mathrm{cl}_{w\times w^*} f \geq c$ we get $M_f \subset M_{\mathrm{cl}_{w\times w^*} f}$. Because M_f is maximal and $M_{\mathrm{cl}_{w\times w^*} f}$ is monotone the equality ensues. $\square$

As a direct consequence of the previous corollary and Proposition 2, the next result shows that the representative of a strongly-monotone operator can be picked to be lsc with respect to the $w \times w^*$ topology on Z. Set $\mathcal{G}_{w\times w^*}(Z) := \mathcal{G}(Z) \cap \Gamma_{w\times w^*}(Z) = \mathcal{G}(Z) \cap \Gamma_{s\times w^*}(Z)$.

Corollary 10 *For every $f \in \mathcal{G}_s(Z)$, $\mathrm{cl}_{w\times w^*} f \in \mathcal{G}_{w\times w^*}(Z)$ and $M_f = M_{\mathrm{cl}_{w\times w^*} f} = M_{f^{\square}}$. In particular $\{M_f \mid f \in \mathcal{G}_s(Z)\} = \{M_f \mid f \in \mathcal{G}_{w\times w^*}(Z)\}$. Moreover, if f is a strong representative of $M \subset Z$ then so are $\mathrm{cl}_{w\times w^*} f$ and φ_M.*

Proof. As previously seen in Corollary 9, $M_f = M_{\mathrm{cl}_{w\times w^*} f} \subset [f^{\square} = c]$ and from $f^* \geq c$ we know that $f^{\square} \geq c$ and $M_{f^{\square}} = [f^{\square} = c]$ is monotone. Since M_f is maximal monotone the equality holds. Moreover, from (13) we get $\varphi_{M_f}^* \geq (\mathrm{cl}_{w\times w^*} f)^* \geq f^* \geq c$ which proves that $\mathrm{cl}_{w\times w^*} f$ and φ_{M_f} are strong representatives of M_f. $\square$

Corollary 11 *For every $f \in \mathcal{G}(Z)$, $\overline{f} := \mathrm{cl}_s f \in \mathcal{G}_s(Z)$ and $M_{\overline{f}} = M_{f^{\square}}$ is a maximal monotone extension of M_f.*

Proof. Since $f \geq c$ we have that $f \geq \overline{f} \geq c$, $M_f \subset M_{\overline{f}} = M_{\overline{f}^{\square}} = M_{f^{\square}}$, and $\overline{f} \in \mathcal{G}_s(X \times X^*)$ because $f^* = \overline{f}^* \geq c$ and $\overline{f}^{\square} = f^{\square}$. $\square$

An immediate consequence of the preceding results is the following characterization of strongly-representable operators.

Theorem 12 *Let $M \subset X \times X^*$ be monotone. The following are equivalent*

(i) M is strongly representable,

(ii) $\varphi_M \in \mathcal{G}(X \times X^*)$ and M is representable, that is, there is $f \in \mathcal{F}_{w\times w^*}(X \times X^*)$ such that $M = M_f$,

(iii) M is maximal monotone and $\varphi_M^* \geq c$.

Proof. The implication (i)⇒(ii) follows from Corollary 10 with $f = \varphi_M$.

For (ii)⇒(iii) it suffices to prove that M is maximal monotone. According to [11, Theorem 3.4], condition $M = M_f$ for some $f \in \mathcal{F}_{w\times w^*}(X \times X^*)$ together with $\varphi_M \geq c$ imply that M is maximal monotone.

If (iii) holds then $M = M_{\varphi_M}$ and $\varphi_M \geq c$. Therefore φ_M is a strong-representative of M. $\square$

3 Calculus rules for strongly-representable operators

We base our argument on the construction used in [5] and note that several results of Section 3 in [5] are valid without the reflexivity assumption.

For X, Y locally convex spaces and $F : X \times Y \rightrightarrows X^* \times Y^*$ we define the multifunction $G := G(F) : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ by

$$\operatorname{gph} G := \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \mid \exists y^* \in Y^* : \ (x, 0, x^*, y^*) \in F\}.$$

As noticed in [5], G is monotone whenever F is monotone.

In general, for a locally convex space E, we denote by $\mathcal{M}(E)$ the class of monotone subsets of $E \times E^*$ and by $\mathfrak{M}(E)$ the class of maximal monotone subsets of $E \times E^*$. Moreover, we denote by $\operatorname{aff} A$ and $\overline{\operatorname{aff}} A$ the affine hull and the closed affine hull of $A \subset E$, respectively.

First consider the following slight generalization of [5, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 13 *Let X, Y be separated locally convex spaces.*

(i) If $F \in \mathcal{M}(X \times Y)$ and $Y_0 \subset Y$ is a closed linear subspace such that

$$F(x, y) = F(x, y) + \{0\} \times Y_0^{\perp} \quad \forall (x, y) \in X \times Y, \tag{14}$$

then $\operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{dom} \varphi_F) \subset y + Y_0$ *for every* $y \in \operatorname{Pr}_Y(F)$.

(ii) If $F \in \mathfrak{M}(X \times Y)$, then $\operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{dom} \varphi_F) \subset \overline{\operatorname{aff}}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(F))$.

Proof. (i) Fix $y \in \operatorname{Pr}_Y(F)$ that is $(x, y, x^*, y^*) \in F$ for some $(x, x^*, y^*) \in X \times X^* \times Y^*$. By (14), for every $v^* \in Y_0^{\perp}$ we have $(x, y, x^*, y^* + v^*) \in F$.

For every $\overline{y} \in \operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{dom} \varphi_F)$ there exist $(\overline{x}, \overline{x}^*, \overline{y}^*) \in X \times X^* \times Y^*$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi_F(\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{x}^*, \overline{y}^*) \le \gamma$. From the definition of φ_F we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &\ge \langle (\overline{x}, \overline{y}), (x^*, y^* + v^*) \rangle + \langle (x, y), (\overline{x}^*, \overline{y}^*) \rangle - \langle (x, y), (x^*, y^* + v^*) \rangle \\ &= \langle \overline{x} - x, x^* \rangle + \langle \overline{y}, y^* \rangle + \langle x, \overline{x}^* \rangle + \langle y, \overline{y}^* - y^* \rangle + \langle \overline{y} - y, v^* \rangle , \end{aligned}$$

which provides us with

$$\langle y - \overline{y}, v^* \rangle \ge 0 \quad \forall v^* \in Y_0^{\perp}.$$

This implies that $\overline{y} - y \in (Y_0^{\perp})^{\perp} = Y_0$. Hence $\operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{dom} \varphi_F) \subset y + Y_0$.

(ii) Take $Y_0 := \overline{\operatorname{aff}}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(F)) - y$ for $y \in \operatorname{Pr}_Y(F)$ fixed. The operator $F + \Phi$ with $\operatorname{gph} \Phi := X \times (y + Y_0) \times \{0\} \times Y_0^{\perp}$ is monotone and contains the maximal monotone operator F, so it coincides with F, from which (14) follows. From (i) we get the conclusion. □

As in [5], we use the notation $\operatorname{ri} A$ for the topological interior of A with respect to $\overline{\operatorname{aff}} A$, and ${}^{ic}A$ for the relative algebraic interior of A with respect to $\overline{\operatorname{aff}} A$; thus $\operatorname{ri} A$, ${}^{ic}A$ are empty if $\operatorname{aff} A$ is not closed and one always has $\operatorname{ri} A \subset {}^{ic}A$. In the sequel, we use the facts that for C convex with ${}^{ic}C$ nonempty, we have $\operatorname{aff} C = \operatorname{aff}({}^{ic}C)$ and,

$${}^{ic}C \subset A \subset C \Longrightarrow [\operatorname{aff} C = \operatorname{aff} A \text{ and } {}^{ic}C = {}^{ic}A]. \tag{15}$$

Theorem 14 *Let X, Y be Banach spaces and $f \in \mathcal{G}_s(X \times Y \times X^* \times Y^*)$.*

(i) If $0 \in {}^{ic}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{dom} f))$ and $g : X \times X^* \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is given by

$$g(x, x^*) := \inf\{f(x, 0, x^*, y^*) \mid y^* \in Y^*\}, \ (x, x^*) \in X \times X^*, \tag{16}$$

then $g \in \mathcal{G}(X \times X^*)$*,*

$$g^*(u^*, u^{**}) = \min\{f^*(u^*, v^*, u^{**}, 0) \mid v^* \in Y^*\} \quad \forall\, (u^*, u^{**}) \in X^* \times X^{**}, \tag{17}$$

$\overline{g} = \mathrm{cl}_s\, g \in \mathcal{G}_s(X \times X^*)$ *and*

$$G(M_f) = M_g = M_{\overline{g}} = M_{g^\square}. \tag{18}$$

Moreover $G(M_f)$ *is strongly representable and* $\overline{g}$ *is a strong representative of* $G(M_f)$*; in particular* $G(M_f)$ *is maximal monotone.*

(ii) One has

$$^{ic}(\mathrm{Pr}_Y(\mathrm{dom}\, f)) = {}^{ic}(\mathrm{conv}(\mathrm{Pr}_Y(M_f))) = {}^{ic}(\mathrm{Pr}_Y(M_f)) = \mathrm{ri}(\mathrm{Pr}_Y(M_f)) = {}^{ic}(\mathrm{Pr}_Y(\mathrm{dom}\, \varphi_{M_f})). \tag{19}$$

Therefore, if $0 \in {}^{ic}(\mathrm{Pr}_Y(M_f))$ *then* $G(M_f)$ *is maximal monotone.*

Proof. (i) First observe, from their definitions, that $g \geq c$ and $G(M_f) \subset M_g$. To get (18) we follow the proof of [5, Lemma 3.2]; just observe that this time the graph of $\mathcal{C} : X \times X^* \rightrightarrows X \times Y \times X^* \times X^*$ given by

$$\mathcal{C}(x, x^*) := \{x\} \times \{0\} \times \{x^*\} \times Y^*, \quad (x, x^*) \in X \times X^*,$$

is a closed linear subspace and $\mathcal{C}^*(x^*, y^*, x^{**}, y^{**}) = \{(x^*, x^{**})\}$ if $y^{**} = 0$, $\mathcal{C}^*(x^*, y^*, x^{**}, y^{**}) = \emptyset$ otherwise.

Notice that $g(x, x^*) = \inf\{f(u, v, u^*, v^*) \mid (u, v, u^*, v^*) \in \mathcal{C}(x, x^*)\}$ for $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$ and

$$\mathrm{dom}\, f - \mathrm{Im}\, \mathcal{C} = X \times \mathrm{Pr}_Y(\mathrm{dom}\, f) \times X^* \times Y^*,$$

from which $0 \in {}^{ic}(\mathrm{dom}\, f - \mathrm{Im}\, \mathcal{C})$.

By the fundamental duality formula (more precisely see [12, Theorem 2.8.6 (v)]) we get (17). Since $f^* \geq c$, from (17), we see that $g^* \geq c$, and so $g \in \mathcal{G}(X \times X^*)$.

Since $g \in \mathcal{G}(X \times X^*)$ we know by Corollary 11 that $M_g \subset M_{\overline{g}} = M_{g^\square}$ and $\overline{g} \in \mathcal{G}_s(X \times X^*)$. Therefore, according to Corollary 10 and again from (17)

$$M_{g^\square} = G(M_{f^\square}) = G(M_f) \subset M_g \subset M_{\overline{g}} = M_{g^\square}.$$

Hence (18) holds.

(ii) Set $F := M_f$. We first claim that

$$^{ic}(\mathrm{Pr}_Y(\mathrm{dom}\, f)) \subset \mathrm{Pr}_Y(F) \subset \mathrm{Pr}_Y(\mathrm{dom}\, f). \tag{20}$$

Indeed, let $y \in {}^{ic}(\mathrm{Pr}_Y(\mathrm{dom}\, f))$. Then $0 \in {}^{ic}(\mathrm{Pr}_Y(\mathrm{dom}\, f'))$ with $f' := f_{(0,y,0,0)}$ because $\mathrm{dom}\, f' = \mathrm{dom}\, f - (0, y, 0, 0)$. Since $f' \in \mathcal{G}_s$, by (i) we get $G(M_{f'}) = \{(x, x^*) \mid \exists y^* : (x, y, x^*, y^*) \in M_f\}$ is maximal monotone; in particular $G(M_{f'})$ is nonempty, and so $y \in \mathrm{Pr}_Y(F)$. Hence the first inclusion of (20) holds while the second one is obvious.

Because $f \in \mathcal{G}_s$, from (13), we have that $\varphi_F \leq f \leq \mathrm{conv}\, c_F$. It follows that

$$F \subset \mathrm{conv}\, F \subset \mathrm{dom}(\mathrm{conv}\, c_F) \subset \mathrm{dom}\, f \subset \mathrm{dom}\, \varphi_F,$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Pr}_Y(F) \subset \mathrm{Pr}_Y(\mathrm{conv}\, F) = \mathrm{conv}(\mathrm{Pr}_Y(F)) \subset \mathrm{Pr}_Y(\mathrm{dom}(\mathrm{conv}\, c_F)) \\ \subset \mathrm{Pr}_Y(\mathrm{dom}\, f) \subset \mathrm{Pr}_Y(\mathrm{dom}\, \varphi_F). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

This together with Lemma 13 (ii) yield

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{aff}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(F)) = \operatorname{aff}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{conv} F)) &\subset \operatorname{aff}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{conv} c_F)) \\ &\subset \operatorname{aff}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{dom} f)) \subset \operatorname{aff}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{dom} \varphi_F)) \subset \overline{\operatorname{aff}}\,(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(F)). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

If $\operatorname{aff}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{conv} F))$ $(= \operatorname{aff}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(F)))$ is closed, all inclusions in (22) become equalities; hence

$${}^{ic}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(F)) \subset {}^{ic}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{conv} F)) \subset {}^{ic}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{dom} f)) \subset {}^{ic}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{dom} \varphi_F)) \tag{23}$$

in this case.

Assume that ${}^{ic}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{dom} f)) \neq \emptyset$. Taking into account (15) and (20), we know that $\operatorname{aff}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{dom} f)) = \operatorname{aff}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(F))$ is closed and ${}^{ic}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(F)) = {}^{ic}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{dom} f))$. Hence from (23) we obtain

$${}^{ic}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(F)) = {}^{ic}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{conv} F)) = {}^{ic}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{dom} f)). \tag{24}$$

If ${}^{ic}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(F)) \neq \emptyset$ then $\operatorname{aff}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(F))$ is closed, and so (23) holds. Hence ${}^{ic}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{dom} f)) \neq \emptyset$, whence (24) holds again. Since $X \times Y \times X^* \times Y^*$ is a Banach space and $f \in \Gamma_s(X \times Y \times X^* \times Y^*)$, by [12, Prop. 2.7.2] we have ${}^{ic}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{dom} f)) = \operatorname{ri}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{dom} f))$; taking (20) into account, we get

$${}^{ic}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(F)) = {}^{ic}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{conv} F)) = {}^{ic}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{dom} f)) = \operatorname{ri}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{dom} f)). \tag{25}$$

By Corollary 10 we have that φ_F is a strong representative of $F = M_f$. Hence, from (25) applied for φ_F, we find ${}^{ic}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{dom} \varphi_F)) = {}^{ic}(\operatorname{Pr}_Y(F))$, thereby completing the proof of (19). □

For $F : X \times Y \rightrightarrows X^* \times Y^*$ and $A : X \to Y$ a continuous linear operator, we consider $F_A : X \times Y \rightrightarrows X^* \times Y^*$ defined by

$$\operatorname{gph} F_A := \{(x, y, x^*, y^*) \in X \times Y \times X^* \times Y^* \mid (x^* - A^\top y^*, y^*) \in F(x, Ax + y)\},$$

where $A^\top : Y^* \to X^*$ is the adjoint of A, or $F_A(x, y) = B^\top F B(x, y)$ with $B(x, y) := (x, y + Ax)$ for $(x, y) \in X \times Y$.

Since $B : X \times Y \to X \times Y$ is an isomorphism of normed vector spaces (with $B^\top(x^*, y^*) = (x^* + A^\top y^*, y^*)$), if F is strongly–representable, (maximal) monotone then F_A is strongly–representable, (maximal) monotone. Moreover, if f is a (strong) representative of F then $f_A := f \circ L$ is a (strong) representative of F_A, where $L := B \times (B^{-1})^\top$. In an extended form

$$f_A(x, y, x^*, y^*) = f(x, y + Ax, x^* - A^\top y^*, y^*), \quad (x, y, x^*, y^*) \in X \times Y \times X^* \times Y^*.$$

Note that $y \in \operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{dom} f_A)$ iff $y - Ax \in \operatorname{Pr}_Y(\operatorname{dom} f)$ for some $(x, y) \in \operatorname{Pr}_{X\times Y}(\operatorname{dom} f)$, $(x, y, x^*, y^*) \in M_{f_A}$ iff $(x, y + Ax, x^* - A^\top y^*, y^*) \in M_f$, and $(M_f)_A = M_{f_A}$, for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Using the previous result for F_A we get the next two consequences.

Corollary 15 *Assume that X, Y are Banach spaces, $f \in \mathcal{G}_s(X \times Y \times X^* \times Y^*)$ and $A \in L(X, Y)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{ic}\{y - Ax \mid (x, y) \in \operatorname{dom} M_f\} &= {}^{ic}\{y - Ax \mid (x, y) \in \operatorname{conv}(\operatorname{dom} M_f)\} \\ &= {}^{ic}\{y - Ax \mid (x, y) \in \operatorname{Pr}_{X\times Y}(\operatorname{dom} f)\} \\ &= \operatorname{ri}(\{y - Ax \mid (x, y) \in \operatorname{dom} M_f\}). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $0 \in {}^{ic}\{y - Ax \mid (x,y) \in \Pr_{X\times Y}(\operatorname{dom} f)\}$ *(or equivalently* $0 \in {}^{ic}\{y - Ax \mid (x,y) \in \operatorname{dom} M_f\}$*). Then the multifunction* $G(F_A)$ *whose graph is* $\{(x,x^*) \in X \times X^* \mid \exists y^* \in Y^* : (x^* - A^\top y^*, y^*) \in M_f(x, Ax)\}$ *is strongly-representable, a strong representative is given by* $\overline{g}$ *where* $g : X \times X^* \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ *is defined by*

$$g(x,x^*) = \inf\{f(x, Ax, x^* - A^\top y^*, y^*) \mid y^* \in Y^*\} \quad \forall (x,x^*) \in X \times X^*;$$

moreover $G(F_A)$ *is maximal monotone. In fact* $G(F_A) = M_g = M_{\overline{g}} = M_{g^\square}$ *and*

$$g^\square(x,x^*) = \min\{f^\square(x, Ax, x^* - A^\top y^*, y^*) \mid y^* \in Y^*\} \quad \forall (x,x^*) \in X \times X^*.$$

Theorem 16 *Assume that* X, Y *are Banach spaces,* $f \in \mathcal{G}_s(X \times X^*)$*,* $g \in \mathcal{G}_s(Y \times Y^*)$ *and* $A \in L(X,Y)$*. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{ic}(\operatorname{dom} M_g - A(\operatorname{dom} M_f)) &= {}^{ic}(\operatorname{conv}(\operatorname{dom} M_g - A(\operatorname{dom} M_f))) \\ &= {}^{ic}(\Pr_Y(\operatorname{dom} g) - A(\Pr_X(\operatorname{dom} f))) \\ &= \operatorname{ri}(\operatorname{dom} M_g - A(\operatorname{dom} M_f)). \end{aligned}$$

If, in addition, $0 \in {}^{ic}(\operatorname{dom} g - A(\operatorname{dom} f))$ *(or equivalently* $0 \in {}^{ic}(\operatorname{dom} M_g - A(\operatorname{dom} M_f))$*) then* $M_f + A^\top M_g A$ *is strongly representable (and maximal monotone) having as strong representative the function* $\overline{k}$*, where*

$$k : X \times X^* \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}, \qquad k(x,x^*) := \inf\{f(x, x^* - A^\top y^*) + g(Ax, y^*) \mid y^* \in Y^*\}. \tag{26}$$

Moreover, $M_f + A^\top M_g A = M_k = M_{\overline{k}} = M_{k^\square}$ *and*

$$k^\square(x,x^*) := \min\{f^\square(x, x^* - A^\top y^*) + g^\square(Ax, y^*) \mid y^* \in Y^*\} \quad \forall (x,x^*) \in X \times X^*.$$

Proof. Consider $\phi : X \times Y \times X^* \times Y^*$ defined by $\phi(x,y,x^*,y^*) := f(x,x^*) + g(y,y^*)$. Then $\phi^*(x^*,y^*,x^{**},y^{**}) = f^*(x^*,x^{**}) + g^*(y^*,y^{**})$, and so $\phi \in \mathcal{G}_s(X \times Y \times X^* \times Y^*)$. Moreover, for $F := M_\phi$ we have $G(F_A) = M_f + A^\top M_g A$. The conclusion follows using the preceding corollary. □

Taking $X = Y$ and $A = \mathrm{Id}_X$ in the preceding theorem we get the following result which shows that the Rockafellar Conjecture on the sum of maximal monotone operators is true in the strongly-representable case.

Corollary 17 *Let* X *be a Banach space and let* $M, N : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ *be strongly representable. Then* ${}^{ic}(\operatorname{dom} M - \operatorname{dom} N) = {}^{ic}(\operatorname{conv}(\operatorname{dom} M) - \operatorname{conv}(\operatorname{dom} N))$ *(is a convex set). If* $0 \in {}^{ic}(\operatorname{dom} M - \operatorname{dom} N)$ *then* $M + N$ *is strongly representable; in particular* $M + N$ *is maximal monotone. Moreover,* $\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{dom}(M+N))$ *and* $\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{Im}(M+N))$ *are convex sets.*

Remark 6 *Since every subdifferential is strongly-representable, the previous corollary together with [8, Theorem 26.1] show that every strongly-representable operator is maximal monotone locally.*

Theorem 18 *If* X *is a Banach space,* $M : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ *is strongly representable, and* $N : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ *is maximal monotone with* $\operatorname{dom} N = X$*, then* $M + N$ *is maximal monotone.*

Proof. In order to prove that $M+N$ is maximal monotone we wish to apply [11, Th. 3.4], that is, to show that $M+N$ is representable and $\varphi_{M+N} \geq c$. Since $M+N$ is representable by [11, Cor. 5.6], we have only to prove that $\varphi_{M+N} \geq c$, or equivalently that $\overline{x} \in \operatorname{dom}(M+N)$ whenever $\overline{z} := (\overline{x}, \overline{x}^*)$ is monotonically related to $M+N$ (because always for a monotone operator $S : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ one has $(\operatorname{dom} S) \times X^* \subset [\varphi_S \geq c]$; see [11, Corollary 5.6]).

According to Corollary 10, we may choose f to be a strong representative for M such that $f \in \mathcal{G}_{s\times w^*}(X \times X^*)$. Let $\overline{z} = (\overline{x}, \overline{x}^*)$ be monotonically related to $M+N$. Taking $M_0 := M - \overline{z}$ and $N_0 = N - (\overline{x}, 0)$, then $\operatorname{gph}(M+N) - \overline{z} = \operatorname{gph}(M_0 + N_0)$ and $(0,0)$ is monotonically related to $M_0 + N_0$; moreover, $f_{\overline{z}} \in \mathcal{G}_{s\times w^*}(X \times X^*)$, $f_{\overline{z}}$ is a strong representative of M_0 and $\operatorname{dom} N_0 = X$. If we prove that $0 \in \operatorname{dom}(M_0 + N_0)$ then $\overline{x} \in \operatorname{dom}(M+N)$. Hence without loss of generality we assume that $\overline{z} = 0$, and so

$$c(u, u^* + v^*) \geq 0 \quad \forall (u, u^*) \in M,\ (u, v^*) \in N. \tag{27}$$

Fix $(x_0, x_0^*) \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and let $[-x_0, x_0] := \{tx_0 \mid -1 \leq t \leq 1\}$ and $C_\varepsilon := [-x_0, x_0] + \varepsilon U$ for $\varepsilon > 0$, where $U := U_X := \{x \in X \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}$. Since N is locally bounded and $[-x_0, x_0]$ is compact there is $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that N is bounded on C_{ε_0}, that is, there is $K > 0$ such that

$$\|v^*\| \leq K \quad \forall v \in C_{\varepsilon_0},\ \forall v^* \in N(v). \tag{28}$$

Take $C := C_{\varepsilon_0/2}$. Notice that C is symmetric, that is, $-x \in C$ for every $x \in C$.

Let $\psi_n(x) = \iota_C(x) + \frac{n}{2}\|x\|^2$ for $x \in X$ and $\Psi_n(x, x^*) = \psi_n(x) + \psi_n^*(x^*)$ for $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$ and $n \geq 1$. Since C is symmetric we have $\psi_n(x) = \psi_n(-x)$ and $\psi_n^*(x^*) = \psi_n^*(-x^*)$ for all $x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$; it follows that $\Psi_n \geq \pm c$. Moreover,

$$\psi_n^*(x^*) = \min\left\{\sigma_C(u^*) + \tfrac{1}{2n}\left\|x^* - u^*\right\|^2 \mid u^* \in X^*\right\} \geq 0 \quad \forall x^* \in X^*, \tag{29}$$

and ψ_n^* is finite and continuous on X^*, where for $A \subset X$ and $x^* \in X^*$, $\sigma_A(x^*) := \iota_A^*(x^*) = \sup_{x\in A} \langle x, x^* \rangle$.

Since Ψ_n is continuous at (x_0, x_0^*), $f \geq c$, $\Psi_n \geq -c$, $f^* \geq c$ and $\Psi_n^* \geq -c$, as in the proof of Proposition 2, applying the fundamental duality formula, we get

$$\inf_{w \in X\times X^*} (f(w) + \Psi_n(w)) = 0 \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Therefore, for every $n \geq 1$ there is $z_n = (x_n, x_n^*)$ such that $f(z_n) + \Psi_n(z_n) < n^{-2}$. Since $x_n \in C$, we know that $\|x_n\| \leq \|x_0\| + \varepsilon_0/2$ for $n \geq 1$.

As seen above, $f \geq c$ and $\Psi_n \geq -c$; it follows that

$$\Psi_n(z_n) + c(z_n) \leq n^{-2}, \qquad f(z_n) < c(z_n) + n^{-2} \quad \forall n \geq 1. \tag{30}$$

From (30), Corollary 5 provides $w_n = (y_n, y_n^*) \in M$ such that $\|w_n - z_n\| < 2/n$ for $n \geq 1$.

Pick $v_n^* \in N(y_n)$. Then for $n \geq 4/\varepsilon_0$ we have that $y_n \in C_{\varepsilon_0}$, and so $\|v_n^*\| \leq K$. Using (6), this yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{n}{2}\|x_n\|^2 + \psi_n^*(x_n^*) &= \Psi_n(z_n) \leq -c(z_n) + n^{-2} \leq -c(w_n) + |c(w_n) - c(z_n)| + n^{-2} \\
&\leq -c(w_n) + \tfrac{1}{2}\|w_n - z_n\|^2 + \|z_n\|\|z_n - w_n\| + n^{-2} \\
&\leq -c(w_n) + 2n^{-1}\|z_n\| + 3n^{-2} \leq -c(w_n) + 2n^{-1}\|x_n\| + 2n^{-1}\|x_n^*\| + 3n^{-2} \\
&= -c(y_n, y_n^* + v_n^*) + c(y_n, v_n^*) + 2n^{-1}\|x_n\| + 2n^{-1}\|x_n^*\| + 3n^{-2} \\
&\leq K(\|x_n\| + 2n^{-1}) + 2n^{-1}\|x_n\| + 2n^{-1}\|x_n^*\| + 3n^{-2} \\
&\leq K\|x_n\| + 2n^{-1}\|x_n^*\| + Ln^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq 4/\varepsilon_0$, where $L := 2K + 2\|x_0\| + \varepsilon_0 + 3$. Hence, for $n \geq 4/\varepsilon_0$ we have

$$\frac{n}{2}\|x_n\|^2 - K\|x_n\| + [\psi_n^*(x_n^*) - 2n^{-1}\|x_n^*\| - Ln^{-1}] \leq 0,$$

or equivalently

$$\tfrac{1}{2}(\|nx_n\| - K)^2 + [n\psi_n^*(x_n^*) - 2\|x_n^*\|] \leq \tfrac{1}{2}K^2 + L. \tag{31}$$

We claim that

$$n\psi_n^*(x^*) \geq 3\|x^*\| - 18 \quad \forall x^* \in X^*,\ \forall n \geq 6/\varepsilon_0. \tag{32}$$

The condition $n \geq 6/\varepsilon_0$ implies $nC \supset 3U$; whence $n\sigma_C(u^*) = \sigma_{nC}(u^*) \geq 3\|u^*\|$ for every $u^* \in X^*$.

For fixed $x^* \in X^*$ we consider two cases: a) $\|x^* - u^*\| < 6$ and b) $\|x^* - u^*\| \geq 6$. If a) holds then $\|u^*\| \geq \|x^*\| - \|x^* - u^*\| > \|x^*\| - 6$ and so

$$\sigma_{nC}(u^*) + \tfrac{1}{2}\|x^* - u^*\|^2 \geq \sigma_{nC}(u^*) \geq 3\|u^*\| \geq 3\|x^*\| - 18.$$

If b) holds then $\frac{1}{2}\|x^* - u^*\|^2 \geq 3\|x^* - u^*\|$ and so

$$\sigma_{nC}(u^*) + \tfrac{1}{2}\|x^* - u^*\|^2 \geq 3\|u^*\| + 3\|x^* - u^*\| \geq 3\|x^*\|.$$

Taking into account (29) we obtain that our claim is true. Using (32), from (31) we get

$$\tfrac{1}{2}(\|nx_n\| - K)^2 + \|x_n^*\| \leq \tfrac{1}{2}K^2 + L + 18 \quad \forall n \geq 6/\varepsilon_0.$$

Hence necessarily $\|x_n\| \to 0$ and (x_n^*) is bounded. On a subnet, denoted for simplicity by the same index, $x_n^* \to x^*$ weakly-star in X^*. Passing to limit in (30) we get $(0, x^*) \in [f = c] = M$ and so $\overline{x} = 0 \in \operatorname{dom} M = \operatorname{dom}(M + N)$. The proof is complete. $\square$

The previous theorem allows us to recover the results in [8, Theorem 42.2] and its extension [9, Corollary 2.9(a)].

Corollary 19 *If X is a Banach space, $\varphi \in \Gamma_s(X)$ and $L : X \to X^*$ is linear positive then $\partial\varphi + L$ is maximal monotone.*

Corollary 20 *If X is a Banach space, $\varphi \in \Gamma_s(X)$ and $N : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is maximal monotone with $\operatorname{dom} N = X$ then $\partial\varphi + N$ is maximal monotone.*

4 Comparison with other classes of operators

Recall that $M \subset Z := X \times X^*$ is called *locally maximal monotone* if for every open convex U in X^* such that $U \cap \operatorname{Im} M \neq \emptyset$ and $z \in X \times U \setminus \operatorname{gph} M$ there is $w \in \operatorname{gph} M \cap X \times U$ such that $c(z - w) < 0$ (see [3]).

Theorem 21 *Every strongly-representable operator is locally maximal monotone.*

Proof. Let M be a strongly-representable operator with a strong-representative $f \in \mathcal{G}_{s\times w^*}(X \times X^*)$. According to [3, Proposition 3.2], it suffices to prove the counter-positive form of the definition on bounded convex weakly star closed sets, that is, for every weakly-star closed bounded convex set C in X^* such that $C \cap \operatorname{Im} M \neq \emptyset$ and $z \in X \times \operatorname{int} C$ with $c(z - w) \geq 0$, for all $w \in \operatorname{gph} M \cap X \times C$ then $z \in \operatorname{gph} M$.

Without loss of generality we may assume that $z = 0$, whence $0 \in \operatorname{int} C$; therefore, there is $r_0 > 0$ such that $2r_0 U \subset C$, where $U := \{x^* \in X^* \mid \|x^*\| \le 1\}$. Thus

$$c(w) \ge 0 \quad \forall w \in \operatorname{gph} M \cap (X \times C). \tag{33}$$

Let $\psi_r(x, x^*) = r\|x\| + \iota_{rU}(x^*)$ for $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$ and $0 < r \le r_0$.

Let us fix $r \in (0, r_0)$. As previously seen, from the fundamental duality formula and from $f \ge c$, $f^* \ge c$, $\psi_r \ge \pm c$, $\psi_r^* \ge \pm c$ we get $\inf(f + \psi_r) = 0$, and this implies the existence of $z_n = (x_n, x_n^*)$ such that $f(z_n) + \psi_r(z_n) < n^{-2}$ for $n \ge 1$. Again, because $f \ge c$ and $\psi_r \ge -c$, we get $\psi_r(z_n) + c(z_n) \le n^{-2}$ and $f(z_n) < c(z_n) + n^{-2}$ for $n \ge 1$. Corollary 5 provides $w_n \in M$ such that $\|w_n - z_n\| < 2/n$ for $n \ge 1$. Note that $w_n \in \operatorname{gph} M \cap (X \times C)$, and so $c(w_n) \ge 0$ for every $n \ge 2/r$. Taking into account (33) and (6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} r\|x_n\| &= \psi_r(z_n) \le -c(z_n) + n^{-2} \le -c(w_n) + |c(z_n) - c(w_n)| + n^{-2} \\ &\le \tfrac{1}{2} \|w_n - z_n\|^2 + \|z_n\| \cdot \|w_n - z_n\| + n^{-2} \le 2n^{-1} \|x_n\| + 2rn^{-1} + 3n^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

for $n \ge 2/r$. This inequality shows that $x_n \to 0$ strongly in X, as $n \to \infty$. Since $\|x_n^*\| \le r$ for $n \ge 1$, on a subnet, denoted for simplicity by the same index, $x_n^* \to \overline{x}_r^*$ weakly-star in X^*; hence $\|\overline{x}_r^*\| \le r$ and $z_n \to (0, \overline{x}_r^*)$ for the topology $s \times w^*$ in $X \times X^*$. Passing to limit in $c(z_n) \le f(z_n) < c(z_n) + n^{-2}$ we find that $(0, \overline{x}_r^*) \in [f = c] = M$.

We proved that for every $0 < r \le r_0$, there is $\overline{x}_r^*$ with $\|\overline{x}_r^*\| \le r$ such that $\overline{x}_r^* \in M(0)$. Since $\overline{x}_r^* \to 0$, strongly in X^*, as $r \searrow 0$ and M is maximal monotone thus it has closed values, it yields that $0 \in M(0)$, i.e., $z = 0 \in \operatorname{gph} M$. The proof is complete. □

Using a different argument the previous result allows us to recover the convexity of the closure of the range of a strongly-representable operator (see [3, Theorem 3.5]).

Recall that $M \subset Z := X \times X^*$ is called NI if

$$\inf_{(u,u^*) \in M} \langle u^* - x^*, \widehat{u} - x^{**} \rangle \le 0 \qquad \forall (x^*, x^{**}) \in Z^*,$$

or equivalently $\Phi_M(x^*, x^{**}) := c_M^*(x^*, x^{**}) \ge \langle x^*, x^{**} \rangle$ for every $(x^*, x^{**}) \in X^* \times X^{**}$.

Proposition 22 *Let $M \subset X \times X^*$ be maximal monotone and NI. Then M is strongly-representable.*

Proof. Since M is maximal monotone we have that $c_M \ge \varphi_M \ge c$ and $M = M_{\varphi_M}$. It follows that $\varphi_M^* \ge c_M^*$. Since M is NI we have that $c_M^* \ge c$, and so $\varphi_M \in \mathcal{G}_s(Z)$. Hence φ_M is a strong representative of M. □

For skew bounded operators the converse of Proposition 22 holds.

Corollary 23 *Let $S : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be skew, that is, $\operatorname{gph} S$ is a linear subspace and $\langle x, x^* \rangle = 0$ for all $x \in \operatorname{dom} S$ and $x^* \in S(x)$. Consider the conditions:*

(i) S is maximal monotone and NI,

(ii) S is $s \times w^*$–closed in $X \times X^*$ and S^* is monotone in $X^{**} \times X^*$,

(iii) S is strongly-representable.

Then (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). If in addition $S : X \to X^*$ has $\operatorname{dom} S = X$, then (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Here $(x^{**}, x^*) \in \operatorname{gph} S^*$ iff $\langle u, x^* \rangle = \langle u^*, x^{**} \rangle$ for every $(u, u^*) \in \operatorname{gph} S$.

Proof. The implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) follows from the proof of Proposition 22 and the fact that S is skew. In this case $\psi_S := \varphi_S^{\square} = \iota_S$ is a strong-representative of S with $\iota_S^* = \iota_{(-S^*)^{-1}}$. The implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) follows from $\Phi_S = \iota_S^* = \iota_{(-S^*)^{-1}}$. For (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) notice that ι_S is a strong-representative of S.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let $f \in \mathcal{G}_s$ be a strong-representative of S. Since S is maximal monotone and skew $f \geq \varphi_S = \iota_S$; hence $\iota_S^* = \iota_{(-S^*)^{-1}} \geq f^* \geq c$, that is, S^* is monotone in $X^{**} \times X^*$ and S is $s \times w^*$−closed in $X \times X^*$, since $\operatorname{cl}_{s\times w^*} S$ remains skew. $\square$

Corollary 24 *Let $f \in \mathcal{G}_s(Z)$. For every $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $(x_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon^*) \in M_f$ such that $\{(x_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon^*) \mid \varepsilon > 0\}$ is bounded and*

$$\|x - x_\varepsilon\|^2 + 2\langle x - x_\varepsilon, x^* - x_\varepsilon^*\rangle + \|x^* - x_\varepsilon^*\|^2 \leq \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Replacing if necessary f by $f_{(x,x^*)}$, we may (and we do) assume that $(x, x^*) = (0, 0)$. As seen in the proof of Theorem 8, $f + h$ is (strongly) coercive. Hence there exists $r > 0$ such that $\{z \in Z \mid f(z) + h(z) \leq 1\} \subset rU_Z$. For $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ take $\varepsilon' \in (0, \varepsilon)$ such that $11\varepsilon' + 6r\sqrt{2\varepsilon'} = \varepsilon$. Since $\inf(f + h) = 0$, there exists $w_\varepsilon \in Z$ such that $f(w_\varepsilon) + h(w_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon'$. Since $f - c \geq 0$ and $h \geq -c$, it follows that

$$f(w_\varepsilon) \leq c(w_\varepsilon) + \varepsilon', \quad \tfrac{1}{2}\|w_\varepsilon\|^2 + c(w_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon'.$$

Using now Theorem 4, for $\varepsilon' > 0$ and w_ε we get $z_\varepsilon \in M_f$ such that $\|w_\varepsilon - z_\varepsilon\| \leq \delta := \sqrt{4.5\varepsilon'}$. Using (6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|z_\varepsilon\|^2 \leq (\|w_\varepsilon\| + \|z_\varepsilon - w_\varepsilon\|)^2 \leq \|w_\varepsilon\|^2 + 2r\|z_\varepsilon - w_\varepsilon\| + \|z_\varepsilon - w_\varepsilon\|^2, \\ &c(z_\varepsilon) = c(w_\varepsilon) + \langle w_\varepsilon, z_\varepsilon - w_\varepsilon\rangle + c(z_\varepsilon - w_\varepsilon) \leq c(w_\varepsilon) + r\|z_\varepsilon - w_\varepsilon\| + \tfrac{1}{2}\|z_\varepsilon - w_\varepsilon\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|z_\varepsilon\|^2 + 2c(z_\varepsilon) \leq \|w_\varepsilon\|^2 + 2c(w_\varepsilon) + 4r\delta + 2\delta^2 \leq 2\varepsilon' + 4r\delta + 2\delta^2 = 11\varepsilon' + 6r\sqrt{2\varepsilon'} = \varepsilon.$$

Taking $z_\varepsilon := z_1$ for $\varepsilon \geq 1$, the proof is complete.

The next result shows that every strongly-representable operator is of type ANA (see [8] for this notion).

Corollary 25 *Let $f \in \mathcal{G}_s(Z)$. Then for every $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \setminus M_f$ there exists a (bounded) sequence $((x_n, x_n^*))_{n\geq 1} \subset M_f$ such that $x_n \neq x$, $x_n^* \neq x^*$ for every n and*

$$\lim \frac{\langle x_n - x, x_n^* - x^*\rangle}{\|x_n - x\| \cdot \|x_n^* - x^*\|} = -1.$$

Proof. Let $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \setminus M_f$. Fix $(\varepsilon_n) \subset (0, \infty)$ with $\varepsilon_n \to 0$. Using Corollary 24 we get a bounded sequence $((x_n, x_n^*))_{n\geq 1} \subset M_f$ such that

$$\|x - x_n\|^2 + 2\langle x - x_n, x^* - x_n^*\rangle + \|x^* - x_n^*\|^2 \leq \varepsilon_n^2 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{34}$$

Hence

$$|\|x - x_n\| - \|x^* - x_n^*\|| \leq \varepsilon_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{35}$$

Then there exist $\gamma > 0$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|x - x_n\| \geq 2\gamma$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Otherwise for some increasing sequence $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$ we have $x_{n_k} \to x$. Using (35) we get $x^*_{n_k} \to x^*$. This yields the contradiction

$$\langle x, x^* \rangle < f(x, x^*) \leq \liminf f(x_{n_k}, x^*_{n_k}) = \lim \langle x_{n_k}, x^*_{n_k} \rangle = \langle x, x^* \rangle .$$

From (35) we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\|x^* - x^*_n\|}{\|x - x_n\|} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2\gamma} \quad \forall n \geq n_0,$$

whence $\lim \|x^* - x^*_n\| / \|x - x_n\| = 1$. Hence $\|x^* - x^*_n\| \geq \gamma$ for $n \geq n_1$ for some $n_1 \geq n_0$ and $\lim \|x - x_n\| / \|x^* - x^*_n\| = 1$. We may assume that $n_1 = 0$. From (34) we get

$$-2 \leq \frac{2 \langle x_n - x, x^*_n - x^* \rangle}{\|x_n - x\| \cdot \|x^*_n - x^*\|} \leq \frac{\varepsilon_n^2}{\gamma^2} - \frac{\|x - x_n\|}{\|x^* - x^*_n\|} - \frac{\|x^* - x^*_n\|}{\|x - x_n\|} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

whence the conclusion follows.

References

[1] M. Marques Alves, B. F. Svaiter, Brønsted-Rockafellar Property and Maximality of Monotone Operators Representable by Convex Functions in Non-Reflexive Banach Spaces, http://arxiv.org/abs/0802.1895v1, Journal of Convex Analysis, Volume 15 (2008), No. 3.

[2] S. Fitzpatrick, Representing monotone operators by convex functions, in “Workshop and Miniconference on Functional Analysis and Optimization (Canberra, 1988)”, Austral. Nat. Univ., Canberra, 1988, 59–65.

[3] S. P. Fitzpatrick, R. R. Phelps, Bounded approximants to monotone operators on Banach spaces, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire 9 (1992), 573–595.

[4] S. P. Fitzpatrick and R. R. Phelps, Some properties of maximal monotone operators on nonreflexive Banach spaces, Set–Valued Anal. 3(1995), 51–69.

[5] J.-P. Penot, C. Zălinescu, Some problems about the representation of monotone operators by convex functions, ANZIAM J. 47 (2005), 1–20.

[6] J.-P. Penot, C. Zălinescu, On the convergence of maximal monotone operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2006), 1937–1946.

[7] J.-P. Penot, C. Zălinescu, Convex analysis can be helpful for the asymptotic analysis of monotone operators, Math. Program. Ser. B DOI 10.1007/s10107-007-0114-8.

[8] S. Simons, Minimax and monotonicity, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[9] A. Verona, M.E. Verona, Regular maximal monotone operators and the sum theorem, J. Convex Anal. 7 (2000), 115–128.

[10] M.D. Voisei, Calculus Rules for Maximal Monotone Operators in General Banach Spaces, Journal of Convex Analysis 15 (2008), 73–85.

[11] M.D. Voisei, The sum and chain rules for maximal monotone operators, Set-Valued Analysis, DOI 10.1007/s11228-007-0042-z.

[12] C. Zălinescu, Convex analysis in general vector spaces, World Scientific, Singapore, 2002.